To proce that it is not in local property local observable of any local observable of any

Assume  $P_0 \Omega = R \Omega$ Then  $P_0^2 \Omega = R^2 \Omega = P_0 \Omega = R \Omega$ So R = 0 or 1

Furtherner  $(P_0 - RI)\Omega = 0$ So by Reek - Schlieber  $P_0 - RI = 0$ 

of  $P_0 = RI$ , and R = 0 of 1. Here, arguing centraportives,  $(P_0 + 0 \circ I) \rightarrow P_0 \circ I + R \circ I$ 

J-E-D

•

## ONE-DAY CONFERENCE ON PHILOSOPHY OF PHYSICS

## SATURDAY JUNE 6, 1992

6. Flemin	
10.00 - 10.30:	Roland Sypel (Oxford): When is a Physical Theory Relativistic?
10.30 - 11.00:	Tim Budden (Oxford): The Principle of Relativity and the Isotropy of Boosts
11.00:	Coffee
11.30 - 12.00:	Constantine Pagonis & Rob Clifton (Cambridge): L-Hardy Hardy's Non-locality Theorem for N spin-% Particles
M.B 12.00 - 12.30:	Harvey Brown (Oxford): Partial Absorption in Neutron Interferometry
Bole Weynest 12.30:	Lunch
2.00 - 3.00:	Mark Hogarth (Cambridge): Cosmic Censorship
#Alaga 3.00 - 4.00:	Gordon Fleming (Pennsylvania): A Critique of Elements of Reality in GRWP Dynamical Reduction Models
4.00:	Tea
H-B 4.30 - 5.30:	Michael Redhead (Cambridge): Localization and the Vacuum
J.B. 5.30 - 6.30	o R. Woing oard: Some Romans on [Rukers]

HPS Dept, Free School Lane, Cambridge

No Registration Fee: All Welcome

Coloquer and the Vacuum Cente 1. If we are in a localized state their defecting (nonlocally) any postile state the state the state the state of delands of the state of delands to Halamont's works 2. Experimentalests segard large (72 t/mc) as infinite. This is two For All Provided Purposes, but is not exactly true (95 Bell). 3. Poureticeus thish of fartide states des celliner obstes or asymptetic obstes. Two approaches: Esternes of agrematic RAFT en Hagg-Ruelle thery or accommodate in local again pamoline - of Arabi eta 4. Consortus in bacum fall of enforentials
unth distand - of Clink I exposes of
Frederitages up step. 5. Trotal pullers of long same-free Belloguns

as in Summer a Worner or Lacendar (1985) 6. Enterpolation of Rech-Schlades atosem in terms of "tweeting" the raceum - modes selective operations - of Licht on Cocol states 7. Is what we call a furticle fart of somewhere convertien . -- in what's is a rose we stretch excepts as may how to split meanings Classical fastile Las Coalizations defende No N Quartum field This is Manuel's affinite N \_ particle 2: Ofenile N, Out Pas is Rollan's Appeal 8. All states of a fold one Just that—
states of a field - Some of the may 20 and collect particle states hit this bounders
can be misleaded, last to affaired facilities
21 is 25t lost alone.

ж

localization and the Vacuum In ROFT local quantilies derel as Q(x) do not commate with number operates N So eighteter of Q(x) are included to the number ages wheel scoffed the Hillout speck 1- forhule 2 portule - localizat state vacuur state. Jerce peur the sacuno site their es mes vaarby tropulier Probabilit to any localised state (19. Con 20 detected of a bealiged measurement) pure farbell states are not lecaled, restone debaters augustive. N.B. I'm R&FT N(N), N(N') of not commits. De gre court nevine sten semastaneous. D.B. 2 NIN ur PQFT is got an observable. En NARFT, 3 catract, Whis absentable and we move N & nearney all the Nha) simulations.

×

So ado to wence I in ROFF and
neure total manatum is the food.

So not steal localistic (to redict
the of the follow):

Note also not east by to seeme N(5)
5 is now localistics not 3 is spead
out with york to x "5 four not. x.
Als a nortical. Species is no 2/2.

Description in no 2/2.

Profesol. I A (O) Si is local of Ald II lead. - no gust I & R(O) DU du mober Si local , ele. Admed 3 A (O) Si si a Coolizant state il PALOR E R(O).

Thom prob (R + X) (love X or an) localized still to.

•

3

Lovery Hox counter and How) and torce with fold except H

So sugaristes - aggregate of H is invariant under Hon)
But Si is not Si and sugarists of Holes?

Resolution, sugarists of H is or?

Resolution, sugarists of H is or?

No, is the relevant sense, Si and many parties stated themselves in degrands

w. n.t. take Hamiltonian.

Malament's assumptions B. field is LH, OHR(0), 9 + U(3), 2) R(0) is a Non Noumann algebra O is a Tounded open set of points in Minhorostie space. U(d) is a representation of translations in oppose-timo. Ne is the vacuum. X state of food p(X): probables
that detector fires, then if Theorem! P(X) is not independent of X, p(R) to, provided detector is localized.

Theorem 2 Vonder same assumptions, detector firing when localized outside 0, is always correlated with some operator  $P(0) \in R(0)$ . ReeR-Schleder Theorem For any o, It is cyclic for H, with respect to R(o) corollary: Ris a

separing weeter for any R.(0) L.S. A'(0) N = 0

=> A(0) = 0

The Relativistic Vacuum on RQFT localized quantities

Q(x), such as change densities,

do not commute with the number So signifiates of U(z) and inclined To the number a ses, which scafford the Hillant space. 1-pantide 2-pantide states

States 2-pantide states Vacuum (no-partole)
state. Hence, from the Vacuum state ]? there is nonvanishing transition provability to ony localized. state This is what Malament's Theorem I is all about.

Some local obsanvables are ? highly degenerate, it is convenent To work with projection sporators an order to compute probabilities of finding organizatues. What can be measured locally is in 1:1 amespondence with the projectors in the local algebra. Note that YA(0), PAROSE ERGS. so it is never a local gustion. to ask, are me in state A(0) ?? Consider Po ER(0) Then measurements enaluate p = Prol (Po =1) = 11 Poss

YP, ε R(O,) ] B ε R(Q) 5.t. 39 LP1. Pan + LP1 m. LP2/ Proof: For goven ?, assume VP2 ER(UZ) LN, P, P, RN> = (LN, P, N). (N, RN) Let P = P- LR, P. R. ). I So (12, P.Pan) = 0 1. Q. L.P. D., Par> = 0 Y/2 ER(U2) => LP, R, A(U2) 12)=0 YA(02) ER (02)  $\Rightarrow P, N = 0$ , since { A[02]]?: A[02] = R(02)} is donn in H. => P, = 0, from Reek-schlicker => P= <P, P, P>-I Pio non-trivial (1.0 + 0 or I), Malaments

Conclusion l'article states in ROFT are non Pocal antities. This is true of partide no eigenstates with definite momentum (as in collision states) on of Newton-Wigner Cocalized particle states, which are spread energischere in X-space (according to the Foldy- Westhenyson transformation). The detection of partial states in RGFT is not a local operation.

Malamont's localized defactors and responding to localized states of particle excitation of the vacuum, not to particle

2. Vacuum telephones?